

**BEFORE THE
TAMIL NADU REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY,
CHENNAI**

Quorum : Hon'ble Mr. G. Saravanan, M.A.,B.L., Adjudicating Officer,

**Execution Petition Nos.65,66,67,68,69,70,71,72,73,74,75,76,77,
78,79,80,81,82,83,84,85,86,87 and 88 of 2019**

1	G. Sivaraman Rep. by PoA V.L. Govindaraju	:	EP No.65/2019	IN CCP No.22/2018
2	Ganesh Jangal PoA J. Jangal	:	EP No.66/2019	IN CCP No.23/2018
3	V. Hari Narayanan	:	EP No.67/2019	IN CCP No.24/2018
4	D. Anand	:	EP No.68/2019	IN CCP No.25/2018
5	1. C. Nagarathinam 2. N.K. Parthiban	:	EP No.69/2019	IN CCP No.26/2018
6	1. Seema Prabhu 2. Prabhu Baskaran	:	EP No.70/2019	IN CCP No.28/2018
7	1. K.R. Chandrasekhar 2.Latha Chandrasekhar	:	EP No.71/2019	IN CCP No.30/2018
8	P. Sathyabama	:	EP No.72/2019	IN CCP No.31/2018
9	R. Purushothaman	:	EP No.73/2019	IN CCP No.32/2018
10	1. J. Pandian 2. Kasthuri Pandian	:	EP No.74/2019	IN CCP No.34/2018
11	R. Rajalakshmi	:	EP No.75/2019	IN CCP No.52/2018
12	1. Kalyan Kumar 2. J.K. Jayalakshmi PoA K.N. Ramakrishnan	:	EP No.76/2019	IN CCP No.78/2018
13	1. B. Vasantha 2. K. Baskaran	:	EP No.77/2019	IN CCP No.81/2018
14	S. Poonguzhali	:	EP No.78/2019	IN CCP No.82/2018
15	J. Sriram PoA J. Rangan	:	EP No.79/2019	IN CCP No.87/2018
16	C.R. Sundaresan	:	EP No.80/2019	IN CCP No.89/2018

TRUE COPY

Trm
31/1/2020

17	M. Duraisamy	:	EP No.81/2019	IN CCP No.117/2018
18	R.S. Vijayarathinam	:	EP No.82/2019	IN CCP No.102/2018
19	Vijayasarathy Rajasekar Rep. by PoA Mangayarkarasi	:	EP No.83/2019	IN CCP No.33/2018
20	1. VS Anandarani 2. Jeya Kumar	:	EP No.84/2019	IN CCP No.114/2018
21	N.N. Sudhir Kumar	:	EP No.85/2019	IN CCP No.27/2018
22	1. Anup Kumar Katyal 2. Shantha Katyal	:	EP No.86/2019	IN CCP No.84/2018
23	1. R. Venkataratnam 2. M. Ramamurthy	:	EP No.87/2019	IN CCP No.77/2018
24	Ravinder Dahiya	:	EP No.88/2019	IN CCP No.004/2019
				...COMPLAINANTS

Vs.

M/s. Marg Properties Ltd.
Rep. by Director, G.R.K. Reddy

...RESPONDENT

(Regn. No.TN/01/Building/0055/2018)

Complainants : Rep. by Mr. C.P. Raghavan, Advocate
Respondent : Rep. by Dr. S Padma, Advocate

Heard on : 26.12.2019
Delivered on : 31.01.2020

**ORDER FOR RECOVERY UNDER SECTION 40(2) OF RERA ACT READ
WITH RULE 27 OF THE TNRERA RULES FOR NON-COMPLIANCE
OF THE ORDERS IN THE ABOVE CCPs DATED 30.11.2018,
07.12.2018, 14.12.2018, 21.12.2018, 04.01.2019,
11.01.2019 and 29.03.2019**

The above complainants filed the petitions for execution contending that the respondent has not complied with the orders passed in the respective CCPs filed by them.

2. The respondent filed reply stating that the execution petitions are liable to be dismissed on the ground that the entire land of the project is

TRUE COPY

Trl
31/1/2020

mortgaged with various financial institutions and different buyers have booked apartments in different blocks and some of the apartments has been already sold and section 40(2) read with Rule 27 of the TNRERA Rules cannot be invoked and the rate of interest under the Tamil Nadu Revenue Recovery Act, 1864 is only 6% per annum and the petitions are liable to be dismissed and the civil court only has power to execute orders of decree.

3. Heard both sides. Admittedly the respondent has not preferred any appeals against the orders in the above CCPs and has not also settled any amount towards the claim of the complainants as per the orders made by this Forum in the above petitions.

4. In Pioneer Urban Land and Infrastructure Limited and Ors. Vs. Union of India (UOI) and Ors. reported in MANU/SC/1071/2019, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held that home buyers/allottees are also financial creditors like banks. Compensation, in the legal sense, constitutes actual loss and other losses, both pecuniary and non-pecuniary in nature and includes purchase money, which is actual loss.

5. So far as the other points relating to interest under the Tamil Nadu Revenue Recovery Act is concerned, it is suffice to add that the orders in the CCPs were passed by this Forum under the provisions of RERA Act and rules of TNRERA Rules framed under the Act.

6. Regarding the contentions of the respondent that this Forum has not taken in to consideration all the points raised in the main case and the delay was not on the part of the respondent in delivery of the apartment and other contention are concerned, the respondent cannot go beyond the orders passed by this Forum raising various contentions which can be raised only before an appellate Forum. Therefore all the above contentions are liable to be rejected.

TRUE COPY

Tml
31/1/2020

7. The learned counsel for the petitioners / complainants submitted that the petitioners are seeking transfer of the order of the Forum to the Civil Court concerned under section 40(2) of the RERA Act read with Rule 27 of the TNRERA Rules and this Forum is to issue precept for attachment of properties under section 46 of the civil procedure code. As per rule 27 of the TNRERA Rules, the order passed by this Forum can be enforced in the same manner as if it were a decree or order made by the Civil Court in a suit and in the event of inability to execute the order by this Forum, the orders can be sent to Civil Court for execution. Since there is no sufficient infrastructure or separate establishment in the Authority for execution of the orders passed in the complaints by this Forum, this Forum is not able to execute the orders passed in the complaints. Therefore, it is just to send orders for the Civil Court concerned for execution.

8. However, regarding the contention of the learned counsel for issue of precept is concerned, section 46 of CPC provides for issue of precept of attachment to ensure for two months or pending transfer of decree and application for execution. The object of a precept is to enable decree holder to obtain an interim attachment where there is ground to apprehend that he may otherwise be deprived of the fruits of his decree. No such attachment, however, can continue for more than two months except in the circumstances mentioned in the section. Therefore, the question of issue of precept does not arise, since the execution petition itself is forwarded to the Civil Court for execution.

9. Since the amounts due to the complainants under the orders are to be recovered through execution proceedings, it is just and necessary to send the Execution Petitions under section 40(2) of the RERA Act read with rule 27 of TNRERA Rules to civil court concerned for execution. The EPs are disposed accordingly.

TRUE COPY

Tml
31/11/2020

In the result, it is ordered as follows:

To send the above execution petitions to the Principle District Court, Kancheepuram for execution of the orders passed in the above CCPs in favour of the above complainants.

Sd/-31.01.2020
G. SARAVANAN
ADJUDICATING OFFICER
TNRERA, CHENNAI

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

N. (Signature) 2020
Administrative Officer